T.E.M.P.O Tree Evaluation Sheet

APPENDIX 2

Evaluation by: Gavin Boyes   Date: 7th February 2024   Sheet No. 1 of 1

Address/Site Details: 21-23 Hawthorne Drive - Hollywood.

Tree Ref	Species	DBH (mm)	a - Condition	b - Longevity	c - Visibility	Sub	d - other factors	Expediency	Score	TPO Y/N?	Notes
					Amenity Assessment		Trees must have accrued 7+ points (& no zeros) to qualify		Part 2: Expediency assessment — Trees must have accrued 9+points (& no zeros) to qualify		
T1	Oak	*800	3	4	4	11	1	5	17	Y	Crown Previously Reduced
T2	Oak	*1000	3	4	4	11	1	2	14	Y	Crown Previously Reduced.

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition
5) Good (highly suitable)
4) Fair (suitable)
3) Poor (unlikely)
2) Unsafe
0) Dead

b) Longevity
5) 100+
4) 40 – 100
3) 20 – 40 (suitable)
2) 10 – 20 (just suitable)
1) <10 (unsuitable)

c) Relative public visibility
5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent features (√ lge=200sqm+)
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public (lge=100-200sqm)
3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only (Suitable, med=25-100sqm)
2) Small trees, or larger ones visible only with difficulty (Unlikely, small = 5-25sqm)
1) Young/v.small or not publicly visible regardless of size (prob unsuitable, <5sqm)

d) Other factors
5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
4) Members of groups of trees that are important for their cohesion
3) Trees with significant historical or commemorative importance
2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Part 2: Expediency assessment

5) Known threat to tree
3) Foreseeable threat to tree
2) Perceived threat to tree
1) Precautionary only
0) Known as an actionable nuisance

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO	
1 – 6	TPO indefensible	
7 – 11	Does not merit TPO	
12 – 15	Possibly merits TPO	
16+	Definitely merits TPO	